2019 HSBC Bank Canada Regulatory Capital & Risk Management

Pillar 3 Supplementary Disclosures As at March 31, 2019



Contents

| | Page |
|---|----------|
| Notes to users | 2 |
| Road map to Pillar 3 disclosures | <u>3</u> |
| Regulatory Capital Disclosure - CC1 | |
| Overview of Risk Weighted Assets (RWA) - OV1 | 5 |
| RWA flow statements of credit risk exposures under IRB (CR8) | |
| RWA flow statements of market risk exposures under an Internal Model Approach (IMA) - MR2 | 6 |
| Leverage Ratio Common Disclosure (LR2) | <u>6</u> |
| Glossary | 7 |

Notes to users

Regulatory Capital and Risk Management Pillar 3 Disclosures

The Office of the Superintendent of Financial Institutions ("OSFI") supervises HSBC Bank Canada (the "Bank") on a consolidated basis. OSFI has approved the Bank's application to apply the Advanced Internal Ratings Based ("AIRB") approach to credit risk on our portfolio and the Standardized Approach for measuring Operational Risk. Please refer to the Annual Report and Accounts 2018 for further information on the Bank's risk and capital management framework. Further information regarding HSBC Group Risk Management Processes can be found in HSBC Holdings plc Capital and Risk Management Pillar 3 Disclosures available on HSBC Group's investor relations web site.

The Pillar 3 Supplemental Disclosures are additional summary descriptions and quantitative financial information which supplement those already made in the Annual Report and Accounts 2018 for the disclosure requirements under OSFI's Pillar 3 Disclosure Requirements Advisory issued September 29, 2006 consistent with the "International Convergence of Capital Measurement and Capital Standards" ('Basel II') issued by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (BCBS) in June 2006 and the "Composition of capital disclosure requirements" ('Basel III') issued by the BCBS in June 2012 under OSFI's advisory letter requirements issued in July 2013 and revised in May 2018

The Basel rules are structured around three "pillars":

- Pillar 1 defines the Minimum capital requirements,
- Pillar 2 requires banks to have robust Internal Capital Adequacy Assessment Processes (ICAAP) which will be part of regulators' Supervisory review
- Pillar 3 defines the Market discipline/ disclosures required by Banks which should be consistent and comparable across Banks.

Pillar 3 complements the other two pillars of Basel framework i.e.minimum capital requirements and the supervisory review process. Its aim is to encourage market discipline by developing a set of disclosure requirements which will allow market participants to assess certain specified information on the scope of application of Basel 2/2.5 ('the Basel rules'), capital, particular risk exposures, risk assessment processes, and hence the capital adequacy of the institution.

The supervisory objectives of BCBS are to promote safety and soundness in the financial system and maintain an appropriate level of capital in the system, enhance competitive equality, constitute a more comprehensive approach to addressing risks, and focus on internationally active banks

On June 26, 2012, the BCBS issued the Basel III rules on the information banks must publicly disclose when detailing the composition of their capital, which set out a framework to ensure that the components of banks capital bases are publicly disclosed in standardized formats across and within jurisdictions for banks subject to Basel III.

Basel III builds on Basel II. It also increases the level of risk-weighted assets for significant investments and deferred tax amounts due to temporary timing differences under defined thresholds, exposures to large or unregulated financial institutions meeting specific criteria, exposures to centralized counterparties and exposures that give rise to wrong way risk. In addition Basel III places a greater emphasis on common equity by introducing a new category of capital, Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1), which consists primarily of common shareholders equity net of regulatory adjustments. These regulatory adjustments include goodwill, intangible assets, deferred tax assets, pension assets and investments in financial institutions over certain thresholds. Overall, the Basel III rules increase the level of regulatory deductions relative to Basel II.

On 12 January 2018, OSFI announced its decision to update the existing capital floor for institutions using advanced approaches for credit risk and operational risk. The capital floor of 90%, based on the Basel I capital accord was replaced by a more risk-sensitive capital floor based on the standardized approach under Basel II framework. It was implemented effective Q2 2018 with the floor factor transitioned in over three guarters. The floor factor was set at 70% in Q2 2018, increasing to 72.5% in Q3 2018 and 75% in Q4 2018.

From Q1 2019, disclosure is based on OSFI's Pillar 3 disclosure requirements (April 2017), including Capital disclosure requirement and Leverage ratio disclosure requirement.

This report is unaudited and all amounts are in rounded millions of Canadian dollars, unless otherwise indicated. Balances reported in this Pillar 3 document reflect the OSFI Capital Adequacy Requirements (CAR) guidelines.

Starting 1 January 2019, counterparty credit risk exposures arising from derivatives are calculated under Standardized Approach for Counterparty Credit Risk (SA-CCR), a new BCBS approach adopted by OSFI. Capital requirements for exposures to Central Counterparties (CCPs) have also been revised. The impact of these changes on credit risk RWA, Credit Valuation Adjustment (CVA) RWA and Leverage Ratio is immaterial.

Road map to Pillar 3 disclosure requirement

| Section | Identifier | Table and templates | Frequency | Annual Report and Accounts 2018 |
|-------------------------------------|------------|---|-----------------|---------------------------------------|
| Capital disclosure | CC1 | Composition of Regulatory Capital | Quarterly | |
| Overview of risk | OVA | Bank risk management approach | Annually | 26 - 28 |
| management | OV1 | Overview of RWA | Quarterly | |
| Linkages between financial | LI1 | Differences between accounting and regulatory scopes of consolidation and mapping of financial statements with regulatory risk categories | , | |
| statements and regulatory exposures | LI2 | Main sources of differences between regulatory exposure amounts and carrying values in financial statements | | |
| exposures | LIA | Explanations of differences between accounting and regulatory exposure amounts | na ¹ | |
| Credit risk | CRA | General information about credit risk | Annually | 31 |
| | CR1 | Credit quality of assets | Semi-annually | 32 |
| | CR2 | Changes in stock of defaulted loans and debt securities | na ¹ | |
| | CRB | Additional disclosure related to the credit quality of assets | Annually | |
| | CRC | CRC - Qualitative disclosure requirements related to credit risk mitigation techniques | Annually | 41 |
| | CR3 | Credit risk mitigation techniques – overview | Semi-annually | |
| | CRD | Qualitative disclosures on banks' use of external credit ratings under the standardized approach for credit risk | na ¹ | |
| | CR4 | Standardized approach – credit risk exposure and Credit Risk Mitigation (CRM) effects | Semi-annually | |
| | CR5 | Standardized approach – exposures by asset classes and risk weights | Semi-annually | |
| | CRE | Qualitative disclosures related to IRB models | na ¹ | |
| | CR6 | IRB Credit risk exposures by portfolio and PD range | Semi-annually | |
| | CR7 | IRB – Effect on RWA of credit derivatives used as CRM techniques | na ² | |
| | CR8 | RWA flow statements of credit risk exposures under IRB | Quarterly | |
| | CR9 | IRB – Backtesting of probability of default (PD) per portfolio | na ¹ | |
| | CR10 | IRB (specialized lending and equities under the simple risk weight method) | Semi-annually | |
| Counterparty | CCRA | Qualitative disclosure related to counterparty credit risk | Annually | 40, 67 |
| credit risk | CCR1 | Analysis of counterparty credit risk (CCR) exposure by approach | Semi-annually | |
| ' ' | CCR2 | Credit valuation adjustment (CVA) capital charge | Semi-annually | |
| | CCR3 | Standardized approach of CCR exposures by regulatory portfolio and risk weights | na ² | |
| | CCR4 | IRB – CCR exposures by portfolio and PD scale | Semi-annually | |
| | CCR5 | Composition of collateral for CCR exposure | na ¹ | |
| | CCR6 | Credit derivatives exposures | Semi-annually | |
| | CCR7 | RWA flow statements of CCR exposures under the Internal Model Method (IMM) | na ² | |
| | CCR8 | Exposures to central counterparties | Semi-annually | |
| Securitization | SECA | Qualitative disclosure requirements related to securitization exposures | | |
| | SEC1 | Securitization exposures in the banking book | | |
| | SEC2 | Securitization exposures in the trading book | 7 [| |
| | SEC3 | Securitization exposures in the banking book and associated regulatory capital requirements – bank acting as originator or as sponsor | | |
| | SEC4 | Securitization exposures in the banking book and associated capital requirements – bank acting as investor | na ² | |
| Market risk | MRA | Qualitative disclosure requirements related to market risk | Annually | 47 - 48 |
| | MRB | Qualitative disclosures for banks using the Internal Models Approach (IMA) | Annually | |
| | MR1 | Market risk under standardized approach | Semi-annually | |
| | MR2 | RWA flow statements of market risk exposures under an IMA | Quarterly | |
| | MR3 | IMA values for trading portfolios | Semi-annually | |
| | MR4 | Comparison of VaR estimates with gains/losses | Semi-annually | |
| | IVIII | | | |

non D-SIBs are permitted to adopt and disclose any of the above listed tables that are relevant in reflecting the risks and activities of the institution. We assessed accordingly and decided not to adopt this particular table
 table does not have any reportable values as at 31st March 2019

| | e 1: Composition of Regulatory Capital (CC1) | All-in- B | ensin 1 |
|-----|---|-------------|-------------|
| | | All-In- B | |
| | Common Equity Tier 1 capital: instruments and reserves (\$m) | 31 Mar 2019 | 31 Dec 2018 |
| 1 | Directly issued qualifying common share capital (and equivalent for non-joint stock companies) plus related stock surplus | 1,225 | 1,225 |
| 2 | Retained earnings | 3,642 | 3,619 |
| 3 | Accumulated other comprehensive income (and other reserves) | 6 | (111) |
| 4 | Directly issued capital subject to phase out from CET1 (only applicable to non-joint stock companies) | na | na |
| 5 | Common share capital issued by subsidiaries and held by third parties (amount allowed in group CET1) | na | na |
| 6 | Common Equity Tier 1 capital before regulatory adjustments | 4,873 | 4,733 |
| | Common Equity Tier 1 capital: regulatory adjustments (\$m) | | |
| 28 | Total regulatory adjustments to Common Equity Tier 1 | (266) | (202) |
| 29 | Common Equity Tier 1 capital (CET1) | 4,607 | 4,531 |
| | Additional Tier 1 capital: instruments | | |
| 30 | Directly issued qualifying Additional Tier 1 instruments plus related stock surplus | 850 | 850 |
| 31 | of which: classified as equity under applicable accounting standards | 850 | 850 |
| 32 | - of which: classified as liabilities under applicable accounting standards | na | na |
| 33 | Directly issued capital instruments subject to phase out from Additional Tier 1 | - | _ |
| 34 | Additional Tier 1 instruments (and CET1 instruments not included in row 5) issued by subsidiaries and held by third parties (amount allowed in group AT1) | _ | _ |
| 35 | of which: instruments issued by subsidiaries subject to phase out | _ | _ |
| 36 | Additional Tier 1 capital before regulatory adjustments | 850 | 850 |
| | Additional Tier 1 capital: regulatory adjustments (\$m) | | |
| 43 | Total regulatory adjustments to Additional Tier 1 capital | na | na |
| 44 | Additional Tier 1 capital (AT1) | 850 | 850 |
| 45 | Tier 1 capital (T1 = CET1 + AT1) | 5,457 | 5,381 |
| | Tier 2 capital: instruments and allowances (\$m) | | |
| 46 | Directly issued qualifying Tier 2 instruments plus related stock surplus | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| 47 | Directly issued capital instruments subject to phase out from Tier 2 | 39 | 39 |
| 48 | Tier 2 instruments (and CET1 and AT1 instruments not included in rows 5 or 34) issued by subsidiaries and held by third parties | na | na |
| 49 | of which: instruments issued by subsidiaries subject to phase out | na | na |
| 50 | Impairment allowances | 4 | 5 |
| 51 | Tier 2 capital before regulatory adjustments | 1,043 | 1,044 |
| | Tier 2 capital: regulatory adjustments (\$m) | | |
| 57 | Total regulatory adjustments to Tier 2 capital | _ | |
| 58 | Tier 2 capital (T2) | 1,043 | 1,044 |
| 59 | Total capital (TC = T1 + T2) | 6,500 | 6,425 |
| 60 | Total risk-weighted assets | | |
| 60a | Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1) Capital RWA ² | 40,916 | 40,142 |
| 60b | Tier 1 Capital RWA ² | 40,916 | 40,142 |
| 60c | Total Capital RWA ² | 40,916 | 40,142 |
| | Capital ratios (%) | All-in B | |
| 61 | Common Equity Tier 1 (as percentage of risk-weighted assets) | 11.3 | 11.3 |
| 62 | Tier 1 (as percentage of risk-weighted assets) | 13.3 | 13.4 |
| 63 | Total capital (as percentage of risk-weighted assets) | 15.9 | 16.0 |
| | OSFI all-in target (%) | | |
| 69 | Common Equity Tier 1 capital all-in target ratio | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| 70 | Tier 1 capital all-in target ratio | 8.5 | 8.5 |
| 71 | Total capital all-in target ratio | 10.5 | 10.5 |
| | Current cap on CET1 instruments subject to phase out arrangements (%) (only applicable between 1 Jan 2013 and 1 Jan 2022) | | |
| 80 | Current cap on CET1 instruments subject to phase out arrangements | 30.0 | 40.0 |
| 81 | Amounts excluded from CET1 due to cap (excess over cap after redemptions and maturities) | na | na |
| 82 | Current cap on AT1 instruments subject to phase out arrangements | 30.0 | 40.0 |
| 83 | Amounts excluded from AT1 due to cap (excess over cap after redemptions and maturities) | _ | _ |
| 84 | Current cap on T2 instruments subject to phase out arrangements | 30.0 | 40.0 |
| 85 | Amounts excluded from T2 due to cap (excess over cap after redemptions and maturities) | | |

 [&]quot;All-in" regulatory capital assumes that all Basel III regulatory adjustments are applied effective January 1, 2013 and that the capital value of instruments which no longer qualify as regulatory capital under Basel III rules will be phased out at a rate of 10% per year from January 1, 2013 and continuing to January 1, 2022
 for year 2018, CVA RWAs were calculated using the scalers of 0.80, 0.83 and 0.86 to compute CET1 capital ratio, Tier 1 capital ratio and Total capital ratio, respectively. For year 2019, OSFI has allowed a 0.7 scalar to be applied to the exposure amount determined under SA-CCR for the purpose of calculating CVA.

Table 2: Overview of Risk Weighted Assets (OV1)

| | | | At | |
|----|--|------------------|-------------|------------------------|
| | | 31 Mar 2019 | 31 Dec 2018 | 31 Mar 2019 |
| | | RWA ¹ | RWA | Capital requirements 2 |
| | | \$m | \$m | \$m |
| 1 | Credit risk (excluding counterparty credit risk) | 34,361 | 33,463 | 2,749 |
| 2 | – of which Standardized approach (SA) ³ | 2,720 | 2,169 | 218 |
| 3 | of which internal rating based (IRB) approach | 31,641 | 31,294 | 2,531 |
| 4 | Counterparty credit risk | 1,998 | 1,738 | 160 |
| 4a | of which credit valuation adjustment (CVA)⁴ | 726 | 680 | 58 |
| 5 | of which Standardized approach for counterparty credit risk (SA-CCR)⁵ | 1,272 | 1,058 | 102 |
| 6 | - of which internal model method (IMM) | _ | - | _ |
| 7 | Equity positions in banking book ⁶ | 16 | 4 | 1 |
| 8 | Equity investments in funds – look-through approach | _ | 6 | _ |
| 9 | Equity investments in funds – mandate-based approach | _ | _ | _ |
| 10 | Equity investments in funds – fall-back approach | _ | _ | _ |
| 11 | Settlement risk | _ | | _ |
| 12 | Securitisation exposures in banking book | _ | _ | _ |
| 13 | - of which IRB ratings based approach (RBA) | _ | _ | _ |
| 14 | - of which IRB supervisory formula approach (SFA) | _ | - | _ |
| 15 | - of which SA/ simplified supervisory formula approach (SSFA) | _ | - | _ |
| 16 | Market risk | 664 | 840 | 53 |
| 17 | - of which Standardized approach (SA) | 124 | 138 | 10 |
| 18 | - of which internal model method (IMM) | 540 | 702 | 43 |
| 19 | Operational risk | 3,826 | 3,823 | 306 |
| 20 | - of which Basic indicator approach | _ | - | _ |
| 21 | - of which Standardized approach | 3,826 | 3,823 | 306 |
| 22 | - of which Advanced measurement approach | _ | - | _ |
| 23 | Amounts below the thresholds for deduction (subject to 250% risk weight) | _ | | _ |
| 24 | Floor adjustment ⁷ | 51 | 268 | 4 |
| 29 | Total (1+4+7+8+9+10+11+12+16+19+23+24) | 40,916 | 40,141 | 3,273 |

RWA includes 6% adjustment to IRB risk-weighted assets for scaling factor

Table 3: RWA flow statements of credit risk exposures under the IRB approach (CR8)

| | | RWA ² | Capital requirements ³ |
|---|---|------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | | \$m | \$m |
| 1 | RWA at the beginning of the period - 1 Jan 2019 | 31,294 | 2,504 |
| 2 | Asset size ¹ | 418 | 33 |
| 3 | Asset quality | 261 | 21 |
| 4 | Model updates | - | _ |
| 5 | Methodology and policy | (332) | (27) |
| 6 | Acquisitions and disposals | - | _ |
| 8 | Other | _ | _ |
| 9 | RWA at the end of the period - 31 Mar 2019 | 31,641 | 2,531 |

^{&#}x27;Capital requirement' represents the minimum total capital charge set at 8% of RWAs by the OSFI Capital Adequacy Requirements (CAR) guidelines

amount includes Other assets not included in standardized or IRB approaches

for year 2018, CVA RWAs were calculated using the scalers of 0.80, 0.83 and 0.86 to compute CET1 capital ratio, Tier 1 capital ratio and Total capital ratio, respectively, including regulatory floor adjustment. For year 2019, OSFI has allowed a 0.7 scalar to be applied to the exposure amount determined under SA-CCR for the purpose of calculating CVA. starting Q1,2019 counterparty credit risk exposures arising from derivatives are calculated under SA-CCR which were earlier [Till Dec 2018] calculated under Current Exposure Method(CEM)

amount includes banking book equity exposure which are not material and risk weighted @100% in accordance with OSFI CAR guidelines the Bank is subject to a regulatory capital floor prescribed by OSFI.

foreign exchange movements are embedded in the asset size RWA includes 6% adjustment to IRB risk-weighted assets for scaling factor 'Capital requirement' represents the minimum total capital charge set at 8% of RWAs under the OSFI CAR guidelines

Table 4: RWA flow statement of market risk exposures under Internal Model Approach (MR2)

| | | VaR | Stressed VaR | Total RWA |
|---|---|------|--------------|-----------|
| | | \$m | \$m | \$m |
| 1 | RWA at the beginning of the period - 1 Jan 2019 | 239 | 463 | 702 |
| 2 | Movement in risk levels ¹ | (15) | (147) | (162) |
| 3 | Model updates/changes | _ | _ | _ |
| 4 | Methodology and policy | _ | - | _ |
| 8 | RWA at the end of the period - 31 Mar 2019 | 224 | 316 | 540 |

^{1.} movement due to position changes; foreign exchange movements are embedded in the movement in risk levels

Table 5: Leverage Ratio Common Disclosure Template (LR2)

| | | 31 Mar 2019 | 31 Dec 2018 |
|----|---|-------------|-------------|
| | | \$m | \$m |
| | On-balance sheet exposures | | |
| 1 | On-balance sheet items (excluding derivatives, SFTs and grandfathered securitization exposures but including collateral) | 98,312 | 93,076 |
| 2 | Gross up for derivatives collateral provided where deducted from the balance sheet assets pursuant to the operative accounting framework (IFRS) | _ | _ |
| 3 | (Deductions of receivables assets for cash variation margin provided in derivative transactions) | (895) | (1,194) |
| 4 | (Asset amounts deducted in determining Basel III Tier 1 capital) | (265) | (200) |
| 5 | Total on-balance sheet exposures (excluding derivatives and SFTs) (Sum of lines 1 to 4) | 97,152 | 91,682 |
| | Derivative exposures | | |
| 6 | Replacement cost associated with all derivative transactions (i.e. net of eligible cash variation margin) | 1,303 | 2,211 |
| 7 | Add-on amounts for PFE associated with all derivative transactions | 2,080 | 1,351 |
| 8 | (Exempted CCP-leg of client cleared trade exposures) | - | _ |
| 9 | Adjusted effective notional amount of written credit derivatives | - | _ |
| 10 | (Adjusted effective notional offsets and add-on deductions for written credit derivatives) | - | _ |
| 11 | Total derivative exposures (sum of lines 6 to 10) | 3,383 | 3,562 |
| | | | |
| | Securities financing transaction exposures | | |
| 12 | Gross SFT assets recognized for accounting purposes (with no recognition of netting), after adjusting for sale accounting transactions | 8,075 | 7,342 |
| 13 | (Netted amounts of cash payables and cash receivables of gross SFT assets) | (1,514) | (1,481) |
| 14 | Counterparty credit risk (CCR) exposure for SFTs | 89 | 108 |
| 15 | Agent transaction exposures | _ | _ |
| 16 | Total securities financing transaction exposures (sum of lines 12 to 15) | 6,650 | 5,969 |
| | Other off-balance sheet exposures | | |
| 17 | Off-balance sheet exposure at gross notional amount | 48,529 | 48,913 |
| 18 | (Adjustments for conversion to credit equivalent amounts) | (33,847) | (33,958) |
| 19 | Off-balance sheet items (sum of lines 17 and 18) | 14,682 | 14,955 |
| | Capital and Total Exposures | | |
| 20 | Tier 1 capital | 5,457 | 5,381 |
| 21 | Total Exposures (sum of lines 5, 11, 16 and 19) | 121,867 | 116,168 |
| | Leverage Ratios (%) | | |
| 22 | Leverage ratio | 4.5 | 4.6 |

Glossary

- OSFI Office of the Superintendent of Financial Institutions
- \$ Canadian dollar
- Gross carrying values: The gross value is the accounting value before any any credit conversion factor (CCF), credit risk mitigation (CRM) techniques or allowance/impairments
- **Probability of Default (PD)** An estimate of the likelihood of a customer defaulting on any credit related obligation within a 1 year time horizon, expressed as a percentage.
- Loss Given Default (LGD) An estimate of the economic loss, expressed as a percentage (0%-100%) of the exposure at default, that the Bank will incur in the event a borrower defaults
- Exposure At Default (EAD) An estimate of the amount of exposure to a customer at the time of default.
- Standardized Approach for credit risk Under this approach, banks use a standardized set of risk-weights as prescribed by OSFI to calculate credit risk capital requirements. The standardized risk-weights are based on external credit assessments, where available, and other risk-related factors, including exposure asset class, collateral, etc.
- Advanced Internal Ratings Based (AIRB) approach for credit risk Under this approach, banks use their own internal historical experience of PD, LGD, EAD and other key risk assumptions to calculate credit risk capital requirements.
- Home Equity Lines of Credit (HELOC) Revolving personal lines of credit secured by home equity.
- Credit Value adjustment (CVA) Credit valuation adjustment ('CVA') risk is the risk of adverse moves in the CVAs taken for expected credit losses on derivative transactions.
- All-in regulatory capital assumes that all Basel III regulatory adjustments are applied effective January 1, 2013 and that the capital value of instruments which no longer qualify as regulatory capital under Basel III rules will be phased out at a rate of 10% per year from January 1, 2013 and continuing to January 1, 2022.
- Transitional regulatory capital assumes that all Basel III regulatory capital adjustments are phased in from January 1, 2014 to January 1, 2018 and that the capital value of instruments which no longer qualify as regulatory capital under Basel III rules will be phased out at a rate of 10% per year from January 1, 2013 and continuing to January 1, 2022.
- Asset size: organic changes in book size and composition (including origination of new businesses and maturing loans) but excluding changes in book size due to acquisitions and disposal of entities.
- Asset quality: changes in the assessed quality of the bank's assets due to changes in borrower risk, such as rating grade migration or similar effects.
- Model updates: changes due to model implementation, changes in model scope, or any changes intended to address model weaknesses.
- **Methodology and policy**: changes due to methodological changes in calculations driven by regulatory policy changes, including both revisions to existing regulations and new regulations.
- Acquisitions and disposals: changes in book sizes due to acquisitions and disposal of entities.